With the introduction of the minimum protein level for delivery of malting barley, significant tonnages of Baudin and Gairdner, with grain protein less than 9.5 per cent, have been downgraded to feed, directly affecting grower profit.

Due to world demand for malt barley increasing at six to nine per cent per year, the future looks bright for Australian growers who can achieve barley protein levels within the malt barley receival specification.

As there can be a large difference between malt and feed grade prices, growers and consultants are seeking the most efficient way to achieve grain protein levels of 10 to 11 per cent to meet market specifications.

In order to answer this question, GRDC supported Department of Agriculture and Food WA (DAFWA) researchers looked at managing nitrogen inputs in malting barley and assessed decision support tools for nitrogen application.

Jeremy Lemon of DAFWA, Albany, advises growers to use caution when interpreting results of any study.

He says that it’s not possible to make ‘universal’ recommendations, because barley response to nitrogen is highly site and season specific and it can be difficult to unequivocally explain trial outcomes.

“There are uncertainties which make N-decision making an art form as well as a limited science at this stage,” Jeremy said.

One uncertainty is lack of predictability in the season following the last application of nitrogen.

**Varieties respond differently**

Also complicating the picture is that barley varieties respond differently to nitrogen fertilisers in terms of their screenings levels.

Jeremy said the practice of canopy management – limiting crop nitrogen early to limit tillering – and applying nitrogen as late as stem elongation, flag emergence or even flowering, is designed to yield fewer but fatter grains. It reduces the risk of high screenings from too much nitrogen applied before stem elongation.

“There is debate about this practice. It may penalise yield and we don’t yet have all the answers.”

Andrea Hills and Blakely Paynter, both of DAFWA, compared how Baudin and the newest malting variety, Vlamingh,
responded to different nitrogen rates and timings.

Both varieties are of similar maturity and yield, but differ in growth habit (Baudin is semi-dwarf and Vlamingh tall) and grain plumpness.

Blakely said small plot trials were planted over three seasons (2005–07) at medium to high rainfall locations in WA. Nitrogen, as urea, was topdressed by hand, at different rates and timings.

According to Blakely, the main determinants of grain protein levels in the 19 barley trials were site and application rate. Rate was more important than application timing in achieving grain protein between 10 and 11 per cent.

“It’s important to match yield potential with level of nitrogen required to reach that yield,” he said.

Tools available

“And there are a number of decision support tools available to help growers determine nitrogen requirements for a given rotation, soil type and expected grain yield.”

“These include Select Your Nitrogen, developed by DAFWA and Yield Prophet, developed with GRDC support.”

Blakely said a useful approach was to split nitrogen applications by initially applying a starter rate and delaying the second application to stem elongation or beyond.

This provided time to better assess the yield potential before the second application. In this study, late applications did not increase grain protein or screenings levels, compared with the same rate applied earlier.

Blakely said splitting nitrogen did not change screenings risk in malting barley.

Splitting only decreased screenings risk if it resulted in less nitrogen being applied in seasons where grain yield outlook was declining.

Variety response to nitrogen application was related to grain shape.

Screenings in narrow grained varieties, such as Baudin and Gairdner, are more sensitive to nitrogen application than screenings in plumper grain varieties such as Hamelin and Vlamingh.

“As there is a lower screenings risk, it should be easier for growers to meet the malt barley specification of 10 to 11 per cent protein in varieties such as Vlamingh, than in varieties such as Baudin,” Blakely said.

Contact Blakely Paynter Ph: 08 9690 2115 or Jeremy Lemon, Ph: 08 9892 8413.

**Waxing ways of wheat**

A novel technique with potential to identify wheat cultivars that will perform well in drought and compacted soil conditions offers hope to Australian grain growers.

The thin wax layer technique, pioneered in the US, has been further developed at The University of Western Australia (UWA) to determine the effects of soil physical constraints on wheat.

GRDC supported Research Fellow, Dr Xinhua He, of the UWA School of Plant Biology, is assessing the hardpan penetration ability of wheat roots, using a thin wax layer to simulate soil hardpans.

Dr He said that wheat plants growing above a hardpan layer were less able to access water and nutrients, leading to poor shoot growth and reduced grain yield.

In WA alone, of 18 million hectares of cropping land, one quarter is susceptible to soil compaction and more than 40 per cent is moderately susceptible, which indicates the magnitude of the problem Australia-wide.

Former GRDC Professor of Agronomy at UWA and now of Charles Sturt University, NSW, Professor Len Wade, in collaboration with Dr Tina Botwright-Acuna of The University of Tasmania, are supervising the research.

Len indicated Dr He’s research demonstrated that the wax layer technique was a good measure of a wheat line’s ability to handle soil physical constraint, as shown by the promising relationship between penetration of the wax layer and root depth in the field.

“Using the wax layer technique will identify lines better adapted to hostile soils and help identify promising lines for future breeding programs,” Len said.

Dr He assessed 24 wheat cultivars and breeding lines under drought stressed and well watered conditions in controlled environments.

Field experiments also related differences in root penetration ability, through the wax layer technique, to wheat rooting depth and above ground dry matter production in contrasting sandy duplex and red clay soils, at the Department of Agriculture and Food WA (DAFWA) Merredin Dryland Research Station.

Dr He indicated the sandy duplex soil had a hardpan at 20 cm and the red clay, with no hardpan, increased in soil strength with depth, especially on soil drying, thereby resisting root penetration.

Differences in root penetration in thin wax layers observed in the laboratory were compared to rooting depth in the field to determine if the wax layer method could reliably predict hardpan penetration ability.

Wheat dry matter was weighed and the long, fibrous, seminal roots on each plant which penetrated to depth were counted as a measure of root penetration ability.

**Superior root penetration**

Dr He said EGA Bonnie Rock, Camm, Carnamah, Halberd, Janz, Machete, Stiletto and Wilgoyne had superior root penetration ability in well watered and drought stressed conditions.

Cranbrook, C18 and Karlgarin didn’t penetrate the wax layer and died shortly after under drought conditions. Their inability to access additional soil water from deeper layers demonstrated the importance of root penetration ability in drought conditions.

Dr He said more work was needed to understand what mechanisms drove hardpan penetration.

“So, we need to determine consistency of trait expression over soil types and identify genetic control of hardpan penetration ability to select better varieties.”

“Hardpan penetration ability should directly impact yield under drought,” Dr He said.